

TEMIRA: alternative processing of STIX (pixel) spectra in order to determine T, A_{Fe} & EM a novell approach

Janusz & Barbara Sylwester Karol Kułaga & Arun Kumar Awasthi

Space Research Centre, Bartycka 18a, Warsaw Solar Physics Division, Kopernika 11, Wrocław



Motivation:

Study the evolution of flaring plasma characteristics: T, A_{Fe} & EM from STIX spectra in its lowest-E channels at the highest time resolution (down to 0.5 s)

- We make use of information contained in a rich count-rate signal of high cadence records (0.5 1s) coming from detector integrated pixel spectra (30 imaging).
- We are using a new approach called TEMIRA (OSPEX independent) for determination of flaring plasma (iso) thermal component characteristics
- TEMIRA stands for temperature & iron abundance determination algorithm eliminating emission measure



Calculation the theoretical responses of STIX channels for plasma of various iron abundance

- CHIANTI v10 was used as convenient package, slightly modified to output individuals atomic processes contribution
- Details of CHIANTI calculations: isothermal plasma of temperature T in the range 10⁵ – 10⁸ K (dex=0.02, 151 values, STIX useful range above 2 MK implemented)
- Assumed (27) elemental abundance models A_{Fe} (log A_H =12) varying from 0 to 11, ([0.00,6.00,6.25,6.50,6.75,7.00,7.25,7.50,7.65,7.80,7.91,8.00,8.15,8.30,8.50,8.75,9.00,9.2 5,9.50,9.75,10.00,10.25,10.50,10.75,11.00,7.47,8.10]); other elements' abundances taken from RESIK determinations for the corona (25 sets). In addition photospheric Scott and Coronal_extended abundance models included (last two entries)
- New response matrix (Ewan Dickson, May 2023 DRM: 1054 x1054) as determined following discussions during the last Prague STIX meeting)
- <u>Nominal width</u> of the five lowest STIX Energy channels used: 4 -5 6 7 8 9 keV where the influence of iron line complex contribution is high/noticeable (narrower bands observations are in the analysis)



Example calculated STIX spectra for T=10.0 & T=15.1 MK













Behaviour of calculated fluxes in various energy bands $A_{Fe} = 8.15$





Strong dependence of 6 -7 keV band rate on A_{Fe}



Simplified treatment of uncertainties 4-5-6-7-8 keV certainties 4-5-6-7-8 keV certainties (flare of 7th May 2021 ~19:00 UT)



2614 DGI (data gather intervals), duration 20 s low count rates \rightarrow 1 s closer to maximum

TEMIRA: temperature & **ir**on **a**bundance determination eliminating emission measure



- Sum observed flux (above background) in the range 4 –
 9 keV i.e the thermal spectral region
- Divide this by CHIANTI predicted values (for such sum) for individual temperaures in the range 2 - 50 MK → gives corresponding individual EM's (intermediate running value)
- Use these individual EM to predict expected count rates in every of 5 channels: 4-5-6-7-8-9 keV
- Add background to predicted \rightarrow calculated spectrum
- Compare observed to calculated spectra \rightarrow determine χ^2
- Repeat the procedure for another set of CHIANTI theory spectra, calculated with different A_{Fe} abundance
- Build T $A_{Fe} \chi^2$ surface & find the minimum
- Determine the minimum (optimum T, A_{Fe}) and uncertainties from

```
min (\chi^2) +1 contour
```

The procedure is non-negative



The test performed for a well investigated flare of 7th May 2021 ~19:00 UT



TEMIRA detailes

- Signal summed over all 30 imaging detectors
- **Background** in individual channels taken as time interpolated value (the analysis has been performed by KK using background files far from the Sun at low activity)
- Consecutive time intervals for processing selected periods to have at least 10⁵ cts of solar signal above background (can be adjusted according to external "wishes"). This mean the No. of counts is similar → similar quality of the fit
- Respective GOES-16 T & EM values calculated (for "exact time frames") using programs **outside** "GOES environment"
- TEMIRA changes T & A_{Fe} and (by eliminating EM) compares shapes only between observed & predicted

(theory signal+ background) is compared to (full observed)

in the five energy channels 4-5-6-7-8-9 keV

- Thus we calculate normalized optimum $\chi^2\,$ surface in a classical way
- Position of minimum \rightarrow T & A_{Fe}
- Uncertainties automatically provided for T & A_{Fe}
- EM values & their combined uncertainties are obtained from total flux in 4 -9 keV range (lot of counts) and the optimum T & A_{Fe} with respective uncertainty limits

For time frame (200 round numer) as an example

$$[MK] \qquad \chi^2 = 0.131$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 23 \\ 122 \\ 21 \\ 20 \\ 19 \end{array}$$

7.90 7.95 8.00 8.05 8.10 A_{Fe}





Some examples for time frames along flare evolution (black=observed, blue=best_fitted)



Early rise

At flare maximum

Late decay

Fit results to spectra at different phases as characterised by χ^2





Time behaviour of T as determined using TEMIRA



At a higher time resolution







Time behaviour of A_{Fe} as determined using TEMIRA







Relation of $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{Fe}}\,$ to T and EM





Overall

- Excellent fits (low $\,\chi^2$) when appropriate background applied
- Reasonably quick computation time (1s per frame)
- T and $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Fe}}$ grid spacing of 0.001 dex

The most important

- "New STIX Team" DRM 1054 x 1054 matrix works
- CHIANTI is capable of exact fit to the spectra when one-T approximation is accurate (near flare maximum), so the thermal CHIANTI emissivities with the new STIX DRM are ready to be used for interpretation of thermal flaring plasma component





Future work:

- Prepare TEMIRA publication based on 7 May 2021 flare analysis
- ~700 flares are identified for TEMIRA analysis (these for which appropriate pixel data are available from STIX repository)
- Determine characteristic pattern(s) of A_{Fe} variation in flares
- Calibrate the (10-15)/(4-10) ratio against $T_{TEMIRA} \rightarrow T \& EM plots$
- It may be possible to determine $A_{\rm Fe}$ for individual flaring kernels from MARLIN images (to be discussed) or possibly even create maps of $A_{\rm Fe}$



Thank you for attention



EM determination and its uncertainties is more complicated

- EM derived dependes on both T & A_{Fe} so
- $EM_{lower limit} = EM_i = (F_i F_b)/F_5(T) \leftarrow upper limit$ T & A_{Fe}
- $EM_{upper limit} = EM_i = (F_i F_b)/F_5(T) \leftarrow lower limit$ T & A_{Fe}



Combining signal from 30 imaging detectors (use of modified stx_construct_pixel_data)



Steps in processing

- Combine signal from all (12) subpixels of every detector
- Add signal from 30 imaging detectors (these behind slits)
- Correct for instrumental effects (live time etc in standard processing pipeline)

New philosophy of fitting step by step individual time step cd

- 1. Integrate the flux in 5 low-E channels (4-5-6-7-8-9 keV): F_i
- 2. Assume running T in the range 2-100 MK
- Calculate corresponding 5-channel contribution functions at T F₅(T) for selected iron abundance A_{Fe}
- 4. Determine running $EM_i = (F_i F_b)/F_5(T)$
- 5. Calculate spectrum in all 5 channels using EM_i and respective theory
- 6. Add background to every channel
- 7. Calculate normalized χ^2 in a^{τ_b} standard way
- 8. Repeat steps 2 ÷ 7 for next temperature
- 9. Repeat "everything" for another abundance A_{Fe}

for 1401 value of T from 2 -100 MK Every 0.001 dex

```
for 501 value of A<sub>Fe</sub> from 6 - 11
Every 0.001 dex
```

